UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 10/815,708 | 04/02/2004 | Jea Yong Yoo | 1740-000095/US | 9728 |
| | 30593 7590 11/01/2007 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195 | | C. | EXAMINER | |
| | | | | CHOI, MICHAEL P | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2621 | |
| | | · | | | |
| | | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | • | | | 11/01/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------|--|--|--|
| • | | | | | | |
| | | 10/815,708 | YOO ET AL. | | | |
| ¥ | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Michael P. Choi | 2621 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | • | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 July 2004</u> . | | | | | |
| | ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | · · | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under the | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| , | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Info | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | |

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, 13 and 17, drawn to having navigation information including control information to indicated whether resumption of a data playing unit is permitted or not, classified in class 386, subclass 69.
 - II. Claims 8-10, 14 and 18, drawn to having navigation information including control information to indicate a behavior on the data playing unit when reproduction of menu data is called during reproduction of the data playing unit, classified in class 386, subclass 46.
 - III. Claims 11, 15 and 19, drawn to having navigation information including control information to indicate whether or not to store current reproduction location on a data playing unit when reproduction of menu data is called during reproduction of the data playing unit, classified in class 386, subclass 95.
 - IV. Claims 12, 16, and 20, drawn to having navigation information including control information to indicate whether or not to update location information to resume from, when reproduction of a menu data is called during reproduction of a data playing unit, classified in class 386, subclass 95.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as a return bit indicating parity for resumption of data and allowing system to resume or disconnect and does not require the particular feature of Group II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2621

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as recognition of video data, from a suspension, that is allowed to continue reproduction according to a flag bit which either permitting or disallowing such and does not require the particular feature of Group III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as a return bit indicating parity for resumption of data and allowing system to resume or disconnect and does not require the particular feature of Group II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as displaying a certain attribute of selected behavior during reproduction and does not require the particular feature of Group III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as displaying a certain attribute of selected behavior during reproduction and does not require the particular feature of Group III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2621

Ġ

- 7. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as location attribute information storage upon suspension of reproduction and not for concurrent progressions after resumption of video data and therefore does not require the particular feature of Group IV. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 8. Claims 21, 22, 25 and 26 link inventions I and III. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claims, claims 21, 22, 25 and 26. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. **Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.
- 9. Claims 23, 24, 27 and 28 link inventions I and IV. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claims, claims 23, 24, 27 and 28. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions **shall** be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. **Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Art Unit: 2621

Ç

- 10. The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.
- 11. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.
- 13. Applicant is also advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/815,708

Art Unit: 2621

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be

used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Michael P. Choi whose telephone number is (571) 272-9594. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00AM - 5:30PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Marsha D Bank Harold

Marsha D. Banks-Harold Supervisory patent examiner

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

MC